Approved For Release 2005/06/22 : CIA-RDP78-05844A000100160012-1



COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES WASHINGTON 25

B-102300

CONFIDENTIAL.

April 25, 1951. Document No. 25X1 Review of this document by CIA has determined that Central Intelligence Agency Lia has no ebjection to declass It contains information of GIA interest that must remain Washington 25, D. C. classified at T 3 Authority: HR 70-2 25X1 🔲 It contains nothing of Cia interest Date 10 1361 Reviewer 25X1 Reference is made to your letter of March 23, 1951, transmitting a voucher stated in favor of an employee of the Central Intelligence Agency in the amount of \$97, representing a deduction from the employee's travel expense account covering the period October 12 to November 2, 1950. You request a decision whether the voucher may be certified for payment in view of the circumstances as hereinafter set forth. The employee in question was authorized to travel from Washington, D. C., to and return, by means of 25X1 Government airplane as well as commercial air transportation. is indicated that a United States Air Force plane was utilized for the outgoing travel to 25X1 but that such plane was not available for the return trip, thus requiring the use of commercial transportation by the traveler for his return to the United States. The record shows that the employee completed his official duty 25X1 in , on October 28, 1950, but elected to proceed to 25X1 COPIES TO: 25X1 HOUZEUDH for personal reasons, before returning to Washington, his official headquarters in the United States. Approved For Release 2005/06/22: CIA-RDP78-05844A0001061600920ment trans-

Approved For Release 2005/06/22 : CIA-RDP78-05844A000100160012-1

B-102300

	25X1	portation request at a cost of \$65, regular fix	rst class airplane	
25X1		fare from	and \$450, tourist	
		class airplane fare from to Washi	ngton, D. C.	
		While the total amount expended by reason	of the circuitous	
		travel (\$515) was less than what would have be	en incurred for	
25X		regular first class airplane fare (\$541) had t	he employee returned	
		direct to Washington, from, an	administrative deduc	
		tion of \$97 was made upon the basis that, since the employee's		
		actual air travel consisted of 89 percent tour	ist class and 11 per-	
	25X1	cent regular first class, he could only be all	owed 11 percent of	
:		the regular first class air fare from	to Washington, and	
		89 percent of the tourist fare between the same	e points or a total	
:		of \$418.		

The above computation apparently was predicated upon section 10 of the Standardized Government Travel Regulations as it existed prior to October 1, 1950, as follows:

"10. Indirect-route travel. -- In case a person travels by an indirect route for his own personal convenience, the extra expense will be borne by himself and reimbursement for expenses will be based only on such charges as were actually incurred, not to exceed what would have been incurred by the most economical usually traveled route."

Under the above regulation, if an employee traveled by a circuitous route and used a cheaper class of transportation, such as railway coach instead of Pullman, he was not entitled to reim-

Approved For Release 2005/06/22 : CIA-RDP78-05844A000100160012-1

B-102300

bursement of expenses incurred in excess of the cost of travel on the direct route by the cheaper class of transportation. See 22 Comp. Gen. 1109 at page 1111.

Section 10 of the travel regulations, as amended, effective October 1, 1950, omits the language previously appearing therein stating that "reimbursement for expenses will be based only on such charges as were actually incurred" and now provides that in case of travel by an indirect route "reimbursement for expenses will be based only on such charges as would have been incurred by a usually traveled route."

The travel in the instant case was performed after October 1, 1950, and the record indicates that, if he had returned directly from ______ first class transportation would have been used.

Hence, the employee is entitled to reimbursement of transportation expenses actually incurred, not to exceed the cost to the Government had he returned direct from ______, to his official head-quarters in the United States by first class accommodation. Accordingly, the voucher is returned herewith and may be certified for payment, if otherwise correct.

Comptroller General of the United States

Enclosure

25X1

25X1

- 3 **-**